UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Richard G. Bustani

MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINI

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

- 1. Plaintiff(s) **Richard G. Bustani** ("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):
 - \bigcirc On behalf of [himself/herself];

		. 🗆	In represent of the	ative capac injured	ity as the _ party,	(Injured	Party's	on behalf Name)
	2.		is currently a ges as set forth		· d citizen of	West Palm	Beach, Flo	orida and
16		resident and	ed on <u>N/A</u> citizen of (City	At the		ecedent's de	ath, Decede	ent was a
II any	party c	laims loss of co	onsortium,					
	3.	<u>N/A</u>	_ alleges dar	mages for lo	oss of cons	ortium.	,	
	4.		of the filing of esident of (City			nplaint, Con	sortium Pla	intiff is a
	5.	At the time th	ne alleged injur	ry occurred,	, Consortiu	n Plaintiff re	esided in (C	ity, State)
В.	DEFE 6.	` '	ame(s) the foll	_	endants fro	m the Amen	ded Master	Personal
		a. Brand	d-Name Man GLAXOSM GLAXOSM GLAXOSM BOEHRING BOEHRING SANOFI-A SANOFI UN PATHEON CHATTEM CATALYT DSM PHAN PFIZER ric Manufact	ufacturers: IITHKLIN IITHKLIN IITHKLIN GER INGI GER INGI GER INGI VENTIS U S SERVIC MANUFA I, INC. ICA PHAI RMACEUT	IE LLC IE (AMER IE PLC ELHEIM I ELHEIM U I.S. LLC; ES INC. ACTURING RMACEU FICALS IF	PHARMAC CORPORAT JSA CORPO G SERVICI	FION; ORATION ES LLC	
		c. Distr	ibutors and F	Repackager	•			•

d.	D	^4^	:1	ers	
u.	T.	CLA	м	EI S	i

e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC:

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

II. PRODUCT USE

- 9. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine: [Check all that apply]
 - [X] By prescription
 - [X] Over the counter
- 10. The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately (month, year) 01/1986 to 01/2018.

III. PHYSICAL INJURY

11. As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medications specified above, [he/she] was diagnosed with the following specific type of cancer (check all that apply):

Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of
that		Diagnosis
apply		
	BLADDER CANCER	

[]	BREAST CANCER	
	COLORECTAL CANCER	
	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	
	GASTRIC CANCER	•
	KIDNEY CANCER	*
[X]	LIVER CANCER	07/01/2021
	LUNG CANCER	
[]	PANCREATIC CANCER	
[]	PROSTATE CANCER	
	OTHER CANCER:	
	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)	41

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s).

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
			DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
\boxtimes	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper	All States and
	ı v	Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
\boxtimes	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
\boxtimes	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only
\boxtimes	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	

V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

DATED: 7th day of September, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

Adam Krause Krause and Kinsman, LLC 4717 Grand Ave., Suite 300 Kansas City, Missouri 64112 (P) 816-760-2700 (F) 816-760-2800 adam@krauseandkinsman.com www.krauseandkinsman.com

Counsel for Plaintiff